UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/717,674 | 11/21/2003 | Hiroyuki Sakuyama | 245673US2 | 9064 |
| OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET | | | EXAMINER | |
| | | | DANG, DUY M | |
| ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | 2624 | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | NOTIFICATION DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 07/02/2007 | ELECTRONIC |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|--|---|---|
| | 10/717,674 | SAKUYAMA ET AL. |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | Duy M. Dang | 2624 |
| The MAILING DATE of this communicatio Period for Reply | | ith the correspondence address |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILIN - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory of the second of the se | IG DATE OF THIS COMMUNION FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a son. Deriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON statute, cause the application to become Ale | CATION. reply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). |
| Status | | · |
| Responsive to communication(s) filed on This action is FINAL. Since this application is in condition for all closed in accordance with the practice un | This action is non-final. | • |
| Disposition of Claims | | |
| 4) Claim(s) 1-34 is/are pending in the application Papers 4) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 1-34 are subject to restriction and application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Exalon The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to | hdrawn from consideration. d/or election requirement. miner. accepted or b) objected to | - |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the control of the control | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | . 5507 (0.00) 01 1011111 1 10-102. |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docur 2. Certified copies of the priority docur 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a | ments have been received. ments have been received in A priority documents have been ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | pplication No received in this National Stage |
| Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-946) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date | B) Paper No(s | ummary (PTO-413))/Mail Date Iformal Patent.Application |

Application/Control Number: 10/717,674

Art Unit: 2624

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably independent or

distinct species: Species 1, corresponding to figure 9 and claims 2 and 19; Species 2,

corresponding to figure 12 and claims 3-5 and 20-22; Species 3, corresponding to figure 10 and

claims 6 and 23; and Species 4, corresponding to figure 14 and claims 8-17 and 25-34.

2. The species 1-4 are independent or distinct because:

(i) Each of species 1-4 has a different characteristics or utility for each identified species.

For example, the utilities depicted at S8 and S9 in figure 10 directed to Species 3 are not used in

each of Species 1-2 and 4; utility depicted at S27 in figure 12 directed to Species 2 is not used in

each of Species 1 and 3-4; and utility depicted at S2 of figure 9 directed to Species 1 is not used

in Species 4.

(ii)these species are not obvious variants of each other based on the current record.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for

prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally

held to be allowable. Currently, generic claims are claims 1, 7, 18, and 24.

There is an examination and search burden for these patentably distinct species due to

their independent or distinct characteristics, or utility. The species requires a different field of

search; and/or the prior art applicable to one species would not likely be applicable to another

species; and/or the species are likely to raise different non-prior art issues under 35 USC 101

and/or 35 USC 112, first paragraph.

Page 2

Art Unit: 2624

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of species to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143). An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

The election of the species may be made with or without traverse. To preserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the election of species requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse. Traversal must be presented at the time of election in order to be considered timely. Failure to timely traverse the requirement will result in the loss of right to petition under 37 CFR 1.144. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable on the elected species.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In neither instance, if the examiner finds one of the species unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 USC 103(a) of the other species.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141.

Page 4

Conclusion

3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Duy M. Dang whose telephone number is 571-272-7389. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 6:00AM to 2:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eileen D. Lillis can be reached on 571-272-6928. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

dmd 6/07 DUY M. DANG
PRIMARY EXAMINER